E B ELLINGTON ELEMENTARY 5600 Ellington School Road Ravenel, South Carolina 29470 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 315 Students ENROLLMENT Karen M. Hollinshead-Brown 843-889-9411 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 Mr. Gregg Meyers BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 48 47 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 10 out of 15 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-720-8714

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS DE L'EASTERS, D'ESSERTS, AND L'ARENTS								
	Teachers	Students	Parents					
Number of surveys returned	27	41	30					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	77.8%	90.0%	92.6%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	74.1%	78.9%	93.3%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	23.1%	78.0%	96.6%					

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

$\Box \land \Box \top$	DEDECONALIDE OV	
	PERFORMANCE BY	

	/	ant 1st ting	/ sb /	Basic	/.c /	ricient /	\ nced	entalled
	roll	16 4 16 010	Tested old	Flow Pole	Basic ol	Proficient of	Advanced Prof	cient arred
	/ Elling	Rent Testing	0/02	/	/	/	Advanced olo Prof	<u>`</u> / 5 ³⁰
All students	214	100.0	44.8	iglish/Lar 38.4	iguage A 16.3	0.5	16.7	17.6
Gender	214	100.0	44.0	30.4	10.5	0.5	10.7	17.0
Male	121	100.0	49.6	40.7	9.7	N/A	9.7	17.6
Female	93	100.0	38.9	35.6	24.4	1.1	25.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	27	100.0	37.5	33.3	29.2	N/A	29.2	17.6
African-American	176	100.0	47.1	38.4	14.0	0.6	14.5	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	173	100.0	40.0	39.4	20.0	0.6	20.6	17.6
Disabled	41	100.0	65.8	34.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	214	100.0	44.8	38.4	16.3	0.5	16.7	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	213	100.0	45.0	38.6	15.8	0.5	16.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status							i e	
Subsidized meals	195	100.0	47.8	38.2	13.4	0.5	14.0	17.6
Full-pay meals	19	100.0	11.8	41.2	47.1	N/A	47.1	17.6
				VE				
All students	244	98.1	32.2	Mathe 46.2	18.6	3.0	21.6	15.5
Gender	214	90.1	32.2	40.2	10.0	3.0	21.0	15.5
Male	121	97.5	33.6	47.3	15.5	3.6	19.1	15.5
Female	93	98.9	30.3	44.9	22.5	2.2	24.7	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	93	30.3	30.3	44.3	22.5	2.2	24.1	13.3
White	27	100.0	29.2	50.0	16.7	4.2	20.8	15.5
African-American	176	97.7	33.3	44.6	19.0	3.0	22.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	14//1	14//\	14// (14// (14// (10.0
Not disabled	173	100.0	28.5	46.7	21.8	3.0	24.8	15.5
Disabled	41	90.2	50.0	44.1	2.9	2.9	5.9	15.5
Migrant Status			,					
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	214	98.1	32.2	46.2	18.6	3.0	21.6	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non limited English profisions	040	00.4	22.2	46.0	10.7	2.0	24.7	155

Abbreviations for Missing Data

32.3

33.5

17.6

46.0

46.7

41.2

18.7

17.0

35.3

3.0

2.7

5.9

98.1

97.9

100.0

213

195

19

21.7

19.8

41.2

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		alle	Self Legal	lester al Be	ONL	Basile	Profite 0/0	Advan Profic
		Enrolle	and less	0/08	ol.	0/0	0/0	Advar olo Profic
			/		/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	50	N/A	40.8	40.8	18.4	N/A	18.4
	Grade 4	65	N/A	35.5	48.4	16.1	N/A	16.1
2002	Grade 5	55	N/A	49.0	45.1	5.9	N/A	5.9
20	Grade 6	41	N/A	34.2	55.3	10.5	N/A	10.5
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	54	100.0	30.8	42.3	25.0	1.9	26.9
	Grade 4	51	100.0	38.8	42.9	18.4	N/A	18.4
8	Grade 5	57	100.0	61.1	27.8	11.1	N/A	11.1
2003	Grade 6	52	100.0	47.9	41.7	10.4	N/A	10.4
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	50	N/A	48.0	32.0	12.0	8.0	20.0
	Grade 4	65	N/A	27.9	41.0	23.0	8.2	31.1
2002	Grade 5	55	N/A	39.6	43.4	17.0	N/A	17.0
2	Grade 6	41	N/A	25.0	58.3	16.7	N/A	16.7
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	54	100.0	34.6	38.5	23.1	3.8	26.9
	Grade 4	51	96.1	31.9	48.9	12.8	6.4	19.1
2003	Grade 5	57	100.0	38.9	50.0	9.3	1.9	11.1
2	Grade 6	52	96.2	21.7	47.8	30.4	N/A	30.4
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE			Elementary	Median
(Our School	Change from Last Year	Schools with Students Like Ours	Elementary School
Students (n= 315)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.3%	Down from 7.7%	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	94.3%	Down from 95.2%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	3.6%	Up from 1.3%	5.2%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	9.7%	Down from 11.9%	8.3%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	35.6%	Up from 7.4%	2.9%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	34.6%	Down from 37.0%	46.9%	50.0%
	53.8%	Up from 40.7%	77.8%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	61.8%	Up from 60.4%	79.8%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.3%	Down from 95.6%	95.1%	95.3%
	\$35,067	Down 6.2%	\$38,268	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	12.7 days	Up from 9.6 days	13.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	10.0	Up from 9.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	16.5 to 1	Down from 17.1 to 1	17.1 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.1%	Down from 90.3%	88.6%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,626	Up 9.7%	\$6,769	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.0%	Down from 67.7%	63.6%	66.6%
	Good	Up from Fair	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	78.6%	Up from 68.0%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes
			•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

With the valuable assistance from my staff and the School Improvement Council, this report has been developed to share with you our challenges and our successes. To address our concerns in the area of reading, we will continue to implement Pat Cunningham's Four Blocks Instructional Model and provide trade books for all students in order to help build home libraries and promote reading. We will also continue to utilize the Accelerated Reader Program, Reading Soul Mates, and home reading programs as school wide initiatives for our students. In order to show gains in math, our teachers received continuous training in the new math series by Houghton-Mifflin and Glencoe, which aligns the state standards to our curriculum. To help our students improve in their writing skills, all teachers received training in the Write Traits Model, which is implemented across the curriculum. We are confident that our students will continue to show gains on PACT because of the instructional focus and our rigorous staff development opportunities that are conducted throughout the year.

We are encouraged by parental support. We ask that you continue to encourage your child to do his or her best. Please support us by making sure homework is completed and returned, students come to school with the proper supplies, and you have discussed with your children what they did in school. Read to your child daily and let your child read to you. It will make a difference. It takes all of us working together to make a difference in your child's education. Call or come by to see us in action. Our doors are always open and you are always welcome.

Karen M. Hollinshead-Brown Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.